```
Gregory P. Konoske, SBN: 095393
    SHIFFLET, KANE & KONOSKE, LLP
    16880 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 250
    San Diego, CA 92127-1615
    Telephone: (858) 385-0871
 3
    Fax: (858) 613-0871
 4
 5
    Attorneys for Defendant, KING SPORTS, INC., a Georgia Corporation
 6
 7
 8
                    IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9
                  FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
    CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY, a Delaware ) Case No. 07-CV 2003 L (POR)
    Corporation,
12
              Plaintiff,
                                         DEFENDANT KING SPORTS, INC.'S,
13
                                         ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
         VS.
14
                                         (JURY DEMANDED)
    KING SPORTS, INC., a Georgia
15
    Corporation; At Golf, an unknown
                                       ) Complaint Filed: 10/16/07
    business entity d/b/a At Golf
16
    Inc.; KEVIN, an individual; M & M )
    Golf, Inc., an Alabama
17
    Corporation, and DOES 1 to 50,
    inclusive.
18
              Defendants.
19
20
         COMES NOW, DEFENDANT, KING SPORTS, INC., a Georgia Corporation,
21
   and answers the Complaint on file herein for itself alone and no
22
   others as follows:
23
                                     I.
         ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 1, 4, 7, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27,
24
   28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 39, 40, 41, 42, 45, 46, 50,
25
26
   51, 52, 53, 55, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62, 65, 66, 67, 68, AND 69, this
27
   answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained
28
   therein and the whole thereof.
        (SF12549K)
                                                    07-CV 2003 L (POR)
```

DEFENDANT KING SPORTS, INC.'S, ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

_

///

ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 48, 49, AND 64, this answering Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to respond to the allegations contained in those paragraphs, and upon that bases, denies each and every allegation contained therein and the whole thereof.

III.

ANSWERING PARAGRAPHS 38, 43, 47, 54, 59 AND 63, This answering Defendant incorporates its responses to all of the paragraphs which were incorporated in the herein numbered paragraphs as it fully set forth.

AS AND FOR A SEPARATE AND DISTINCT FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT, this answering Defendant alleges that the plaintiff's complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.

AS AND FOR A SEPARATE AND SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this answering Defendant alleges that there is no market confusion of any products offered by this answering Defendant as compared to any products offered by plaintiff.

AS AND FOR A SEPARATE AND THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this answering Defendant alleges its products are not substantially similar to any products offered by plaintiff.

AS AND FOR A SEPARATE AND FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this answering Defendant alleges that any similarity between any products offered by this Defendant and the plaintiff is mere coincidence and is incapable of creating any confusion in the market place.

1 AS AND FOR A SEPARATE AND FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this 2 answering Defendant alleges that its actions constitute nothing more 3 than good faith competition and the plaintiff herein cannot stifle such competition. AS AND FOR A SEPARATE AND SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this 6 answering Defendant alleges the allegations contained within the complaint are barred by the doctrine of latches. AS AND FOR A SEPARATE AND SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this answering Defendant alleges the allegations in the complaint are 10 barred by the doctrine of unclean hands. 11 AS AND FOR A SEPARATE AND EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this 12 answering Defendant alleges that the technology and material use in the products marketed by this answering Defendant are within the 13 14 public domain, are not sufficiently unique as to be subject to 15 protection by patent or trademark. 16 AS AND FOR A SEPARATE AND NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this 17 answering Defendant alleges that any infringement or delusion was 18 inadvertent and innocent. 19 Wherefore, this answering Defendant prays judgment as follows: 20 That plaintiff take nothing by its action; 1. 21 2. That Defendant be awarded reasonable cost of suit 22 incurred herein; 23 3. That Defendant be awarded attorney's fees to defend this 24 action and; 25 /// 26 /// 27 ///

28

///

For such other and further relief as this court may deem 4. just and proper. Dated: December 5, 2007 SHIFFLET, KANE & KONOSKE, LLP By: s/Gregory Konoske Gregory P. Konoske, Esq. Attorney for Defendant, KING SPORTS, INC., a Georgia Corporation gkonoske@shiffletlaw.com

DEMAND FOR JURY Pursuant to FRCT 38(b) this answering Defendant demands Trial by Jury on those issues to which there is a legal right to Trial by Jury. Dated: December 5, 2007 SHIFFLET, KANE & KONOSKE, LLP By: s/Gregory P. Konoske Gregory P. Konoske, Esq. Attorney for Defendant, KING SPORTS, INC., a Georgia Corporation gkonoske@shiffletlaw.com

(SF12549K) 5. **07-CV 2003 L (POR)**

<u>CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY vs. KING SPORTS</u>, INC. et al. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Case No.: 07 CV 2003 L(POR) 3 PROOF OF SERVICE I, CRYSTAL C. TURNER declare as follows: I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action. I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California, within which county and state the subject mailing occurred. My business address is 16880 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 250, San Diego, California 92127. On **December 5, 2007,** I electronically filed the document(s) described as: DEFENDANT KING SPORTS, INC.'S, ANSWER TO COMPLAINT and (JURY DEMANDED) with the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, using the CM/ECF System. The Court's CM/ECF System will send an email notification of the 10 foregoing filing to the following parties and counsel of record who are registered with the Court's CM/ECF System: 11 12 Kenneth G. Parker, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs: Robert G. Loewy, Esq. CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY TEUTON, LOEWY & PARKER, LLP 13 Tel: (949) 442-7100 3121 Michelson Drive, suite 250 Fax: (949) 442-7105 Irvine, CA 92612 14 15 BY MAIL. I am readily familiar with the business practices at my place of business for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal 16 Service and the correspondence shall be deposited with the United State Postal Service this same day in the ordinary 17 course of business pursuant Code of Civil Procedure §1013(a). 18 BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION. The counsel or interested party 19 authorized to accept service, by whose name an asterisk (*) is placed, was also forwarded a copy of said document(s) by facsimile transmission at the telefax number corresponding 20 with his name and address on the attached service list. 21 [X]BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE VIA CM/ECF SYSTEM. In accordance with the electronic filing procedures of this Court, service has 22 been effected on the aforesaid party(ies) above, whose counsel 23 of record is a registered participant of CM/ECF, via electronic service through the CM/ECF System. 24 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of 25 California that the foregoing is true and correct. 26 Executed on: December 5, 2007 s/ Crystal Turner CRYSTAL C. TURNER 27 28

PROOF OF SERVICE

(SF12549K)

07-CV 2003 L (POR)