UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** Case No. COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Paradise Canyon, LLC ("Paradise Canyon") hereby complains and alleges

NATURE OF ACTION

This is an action for false advertising, false designation of origin, and trademark infringement under the Lanham Act with pendent state law claims for trademark infringement and deceptive trade practices under the Nevada Revised Statutes and common law trademark infringement. Plaintiff seeks damages, attorneys' fees and costs and preliminary and permanent injunctive relief.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1. §§ 1331 and 1338(a). This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

1.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1

1

2

3

4

17

18

25 26

24

27 28

2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Integra Investments, LLC ("Integra") based upon the following: (a) Integra regularly conducts business in the State of Nevada; (b) Integra intentionally caused consumer confusion and infringed Paradise Canyon's trademarks in the State of Nevada by publishing advertising directed at the State of Nevada.

THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Paradise Canyon is a Nevada limited liability company that owns and operates the Wolf Creek Golf Club in Mesquite, Nevada and owns the WOLF CREEK mark for, among other things, golf course services.
- Defendant Integra is a Utah limited liability company that owns and is developing "Hidden Wolf", a planned residential community located in Mesquite, Nevada adjacent to the Wolf Creek Golf Club.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 5. Plaintiff Paradise Canyon owns and operates the Wolf Creek Golf Club in Mesquite, Nevada and owns the WOLF CREEK mark, which it has used for the provision of various services since October of 2000.
- Plaintiff coined the name WOLF CREEK as a fanciful, arbitrary name for its 6. golf course and related services. The location of Plaintiff's golf course is within an area known as "Paradise Canyon."
- Plaintiff Paradise Canyon owns a federal trademark registration with the 7. United States Patent and Trademark Office (the "USPTO") for WOLF CREEK (U.S. Reg. No. 3,010,874) for arranging and conducting golf competitions, entertainment in the form of golf tournaments, golf club services, golf courses and providing facilities for recreation activities.
- Plaintiff Paradise Canyon owns two State of Nevada trademark registrations 8. for WOLF CREEK:
 - a. WOLF CREEK (NV Reg. No. 36,346) for golf course services; and
 - WOLF CREEK w/design (NV Reg. No. 36,345) for clothing. b.

5

18

Neither of these registrations has been abandoned, canceled or revoked.

- Plaintiff's Wolf Creek Golf Club enjoys international fame. One of golf's most prestigious publications ranked Wolf Creek Golf Club as Nevada's second best golf course and ranked it in the top 30 of America's 100 Greatest Public Courses for 2005.
- Defendant Integra purchased various plots of land, totaling approximately 750 10. acres, adjacent to the Wolf Creek Golf Club property with the intent of constructing a residential community on the plots of land.
- The entities from whom Defendant Integra purchased the land had planned to 11. call their land development project the "Wolf Creek Estates," prompting Plaintiff to file suit against them in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Case No. CV-S-05-0462-RLH-RJJ.
- Plaintiff obtained two Temporary Restraining Orders and a Stipulated 12. Permanent Injunction against the developers of the "Wolf Creek Estates," and Defendant Integra was thus on notice that Plaintiff objected to developers using variations of the WOLF CREEK mark in Mesquite, Nevada and making misleading statements about affiliation with the Wolf Creek Golf Club. (See Temporary Restraining Orders and Stipulated Permanent Injunction, attached hereto as Exhibits 1, 2 and 3.)
- At some point subsequent to the purchase of the land, Defendant Integra 13. began developing the land adjacent to Wolf Creek Golf Club for the purpose of constructing a residential development.
 - 14. Defendant Integra actively commenced marketing the land as "Hidden Wolf."
- In fact, Defendant Integra's advertising for the "Hidden Wolf" residential 15. development focuses not on Integra's homes or development but on the Wolf Creek Golf Club. (See Hidden Wolf Advertisement, attached hereto as Exhibit 4.)
- Defendant Integra's logo for the Hidden Wolf residential development is 16. substantially similar to the logo that Paradise Canyon uses to promote the Wolf Creek Golf Club. (See Exh. 1)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Defendant's advertising also advertises that its housing development features 17. "WORLD-CLASS GOLFING...in your own backyard" and states that "If you've had the chance to play golf at Wolf Creek Golf Club in Mesquite, you certainly understand why it's consistently rated as one of the best and most challenging courses in America," falsely suggesting that Paradise Canyon and Wolf Creek Golf Club are affiliated with Integra's residential development. (Exh. 1 (emphasis in original))
- Defendant's advertising asks consumers, "Wouldn't you love to play this 18. amazing course every day - just by stepping outside your door?," falsely suggesting that Integra homeowners will have special access privileges to the Wolf Creek Golf Club. (Exh. 1)
- Defendant's advertising states that its proposed Hidden Wolf residential 19. development is "an exclusive residential community at the peak of the golf course," falsely suggesting that Integra's residence is affiliated with, operated by, or a part of the Wolf Creek Golf Club owned by Paradise Canyon.
- Defendant Integra's advertising says virtually nothing about its planned 20. residential development and the housing it would offer there.
- 21. Plaintiff Paradise Canyon sent a cease and desist letter to Integra on November 7, 2007. Thereafter, Plaintiff's counsel spoke with representatives for Defendant Integra, who by written correspondence dated November 23, 2007, refused to cease and desist Integra's infringing activities.
- Specifically, through correspondence, Defendant Integra refused to change 22. its name or to enter into a written agreement stating that it would cease its misleading advertising, ostensibly because it feels that anyone should be able to use the word "wolf." (See Email Correspondence between J. Firth and B. McQuarrie, attached hereto as Exhibit 5.)
- By marketing its development, which is immediately adjacent to the Wolf 23. Creek Golf Club, using Plaintiff's WOLF CREEK trademark and inferring affiliation with Plaintiff, Defendant is attempting to trade on the goodwill of Paradise Canyon and its Wolf

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

Creek Golf Club.

- By marketing its development, which is immediately adjacent to the Wolf Creek Golf Club, using Plaintiff's WOLF CREEK trademark and inferring affiliation with Plaintiff, Defendant is attempting to create an association between its development and Paradise Canyon's Wolf Creek Golf Club.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Integra called its development 25. "Hidden Wolf" and inferred affiliation with Plaintiff to the public with the bad faith intent to profit from Plaintiff's WOLF CREEK mark and to create an association between its development and the Wolf Creek Golf Club in the minds of consumers.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unfair Competition: False Advertising – 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(B))

- Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though 26. set forth fully herein.
- Defendant's use of the WOLF CREEK mark, and variants thereof, to market 27. its development constitutes false advertising and a misrepresentation of the nature, characteristics, qualities and/or geographic origin of Defendant's goods, services and commercial activities.
- Defendant's use in commerce of Plaintiff's WOLF CREEK mark, and variants 28. thereof, to market a development directly adjacent to Plaintiff's Wolf Creek Golf Club constitutes intentional conduct by Defendant to falsely advertise its goods, services and commercial activities and to misrepresent the nature, characteristics, qualities and/or geographic origin of Defendant's goods, services and commercial activities.
- Unless Defendant is immediately enjoined and prohibited from using the 29. WOLF CREEK mark to market its development, Defendant will continue to intentionally falsely advertise its goods, services and commercial activities.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's false advertising, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary loss and irreparable injury to its business, reputation, and goodwill.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unfair Competition: False Designation of Origin – 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(A))

- Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though 31. set forth fully herein.
- 32. Defendant's use of the WOLF CREEK mark, and variations thereof, to market its development constitutes a false designation of origin, as the use of the WOLF CREEK mark, and variations thereof, indicates to the consumer that the development is operated by or affiliated with Paradise Canyon, when in fact it is not.
- Defendant's actions have created a likelihood of confusion among consumers 33. who will falsely believe that the "Hidden Wolf" development is operated and/or endorsed by Paradise Canyon, when in fact it is not.
- Defendant's use of the WOLF CREEK mark, and variants thereof, to market 34. its goods and services to the public constitutes intentional conduct by Defendant to make false designations of origin and false descriptions about Defendant's goods, services and commercial activities.
- Unless Defendant is immediately enjoined and prohibited from using the 35. WOLF CREEK mark, and variants thereof, to market its development, Defendant will continue to intentionally make false designations of origin and false descriptions about Defendant's goods, services and commercial activities.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's false designation of origin, 36. Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary loss and irreparable injury to its business, reputation, and goodwill.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trademark Infringement – 15 U.S.C. § 1115)

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though set forth fully herein.
- 38. Defendant Integra used and is using in commerce the name "Hidden Wolf" to promote its real estate development, which is adjacent to Plaintiff's Wolf Creek Golf Club, is

5 6

8 9

10

7

11 12

13

14 15

> 16 17

19 20

23 24

25 26

27

28

being marketed as though it were part of the Wolf Creek Golf Club, and contains one of the primary terms included in Plaintiff's registered mark.

- Defendant's use in commerce of Plaintiff's marks and/or a mark confusingly 39. similar to Plaintiff's trademarks for Defendants' services constitutes a reproduction, copying, counterfeiting, and colorable imitation of Plaintiff's trademarks in a manner that is likely to cause confusion or mistake or is likely to deceive consumers.
- By using Paradise Canyon's marks and/or marks confusingly similar to 40. Paradise Canyon's trademarks with the knowledge that Plaintiff owns and has used, and continues to use, its trademarks in Nevada and across the United States, Defendant has intended to cause confusion, cause mistake, or deceive consumers.
- Defendant is using a mark identical and/or confusingly similar to Plaintiff's 41. trademarks in connection with the sale, offering for sale or advertising of services in a manner that is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive consumers as to affiliation, connection or association with Plaintiff or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendant's services or commercial activities by Plaintiff.
- Defendant's use of Plaintiff's marks and/or marks confusingly similar to 42. Plaintiff's trademarks has created a likelihood of confusion among consumers who may falsely believe that Defendant's business is associated with Plaintiff's Wolf Creek Golf Club or that Plaintiff sponsors or approves of Defendant's services or commercial activities.
- Unless Defendant is immediately enjoined and prohibited from using the 43. WOLF CREEK mark, and variations thereof, to market its development, it will continue to infringe upon Plaintiff's WOLF CREEK mark.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's infringement, Plaintiff has 44. suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary loss and irreparable injury to its business, reputation, and goodwill.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FOURTH	CLAIR	I FOR	RELIEF
---------------	-------	-------	--------

(Trademark Infringement - N.R.S. § 600.420)

- Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though 45. set forth fully herein.
- Plaintiff Paradise Canyon has mark registrations in the State of Nevada for 46. WOLF CREEK.
- Defendant used, without Plaintiff's consent, reproductions, counterfeits, 47. copies and/or colorful imitations of Plaintiff's WOLF CREEK mark, and variations thereof, in connection with the sale, offering for sale and/or advertising of Defendants' goods and services.
- 48. Defendant willfully reproduced, counterfeited, copied and/or colorfully imitated Plaintiff Paradise Canyon's WOLF CREEK mark and applied or caused to be applied that reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable imitation to Defendant's goods and services and advertisements for those goods and services.
- Defendant's use of Plaintiff's WOLF CREEK mark (or reproductions, 49. counterfeits, copies or colorful imitations thereof) is likely to cause confusion or mistake among consumers or result in deception as to the source or origin of such goods and services.
- Unless Defendant is enjoined and prohibited from continuing to engage in its 50. infringements of Plaintiff's WOLF CREEK mark, Defendant will continue to infringe upon Plaintiff's marks.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's trademark infringement, 51. Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary loss and irreparable injury to its business, reputation, and goodwill.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Deceptive Trade Practices - N.R.S. 598.0915)

52. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though set forth fully herein.

1

- 7 8
- 11

12 13

14 15

17

18 19

21

22

20

23

24

25 26

27

28

- Upon information and belief, in the course of its business, Defendant 53. knowingly made false representations as to affiliation, connection and/or association with Plaintiff by using a mark identical or confusingly similar to Plaintiff's trademarks and otherwise engaged in deceptive trade practices.
- Unless Defendant is immediately enjoined and prohibited from engaging in 54. such deceptive trade practices, Defendant will continue its unlawful activities.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's engaging in deceptive trade 55. practices, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary loss to its business, reputation and goodwill.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Common Law Trademark Infringement)

- Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though 56. set forth fully herein.
- By virtue of having used and continuing to use its WOLF CREEK trademark, 57. Plaintiff Paradise Canyon has acquired common law rights in the mark.
- 58. Defendant's use of marks identical or confusingly similar to Plaintiff's WOLF CREEK trademark infringes Plaintiff's common law rights in its trademark, and this use is likely to cause confusion, mistake or deception among consumers who will believe that Defendant's development, the "Hidden Wolf," is affiliated with or endorsed by Plaintiff Paradise Canyon, when it is not.
- Unless Defendant is enjoined and prohibited from continuing to engage in its 59. infringements of Plaintiff's WOLF CREEK mark, Defendant will continue to infringe upon Plaintiff's marks.
- 60. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's common law trademark infringement, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary loss to its business, reputation and goodwill.

9 10 11 12 GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP Suito 500 North, 3773 Howard Hughes Pa Las Vegas Nevada 89169 (702) 792-3002 (fax) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Paradise Canyon, LLC respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- A preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant Integra and its A. officers, agents, servants, employees and/or all persons acting in concert with it from: (1) advertising its planned housing development as though it were connected with or related to the Wolf Creek Golf Club; (2) using the WOLF CREEK mark, or confusingly similar variations thereof, alone or in combination with any other words, letter strings, phrases or designs in commerce or in connection with any business or for any purpose; (3) representing themselves, or any of their officers, agents, servants, employees and/or all other persons acting in concert with them, as representatives of Paradise Canyon; (4) representing to third parties that their activities, or the activities of their officers, agents, servants, employees and/or all other persons acting in concert with them, are affiliated with or endorsed by Paradise Canyon; and (5) representing to third parties that their development is in any way affiliated with or endorsed by Paradise Canyon's Wolf Creek Golf Club:
- An award of compensatory, consequential, statutory, and punitive damages to B. Plaintiffs in an amount to be determined at trial;
- C. An award of interest, costs and attorneys' fees incurred by Plaintiff in prosecuting this action; and
 - D. All other relief to which Plaintiff is entitled.

DATED: December 19, 2007.

TRAURIG, LLP GREENE

Mark G. Tratos (Bar No. 1086) Jason D. Firth (Bar No. 8801)

Ronald D. Green Jr. (Bar No. 7360)

3773 Howard Hughes Parkway

Suite 500 North

10.

Las Vegas. Nevada 89169

Counsel for Plaintiff