

REDACTED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

) Civ. No. 06-091-SLR

ACUSHNET COMPANY,)

Defendant.)

VERDICT SHEET

Dated: December 12, 2007

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

I. VALIDITY

1. Has Acushnet proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,210,293 (the '293 patent) is invalid due to obviousness?

"Yes" is a finding for Acushnet. "No" is a finding for Callaway.

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|--------------------|-------------------|
| (A) | Claim 1 | Yes _____ | No <u>X</u> _____ |
| (B) | Claim 4 | Yes _____ | No <u>X</u> _____ |
| (C) | Claim 5 | Yes <u>X</u> _____ | No _____ |

2. Has Acushnet proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,503,156 (the '156 patent) is invalid due to obviousness?

"Yes" is a finding for Acushnet. "No" is a finding for Callaway.

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|-----------|-------------------|
| (A) | Claim 1 | Yes _____ | No <u>X</u> _____ |
| (B) | Claim 2 | Yes _____ | No <u>X</u> _____ |
| (C) | Claim 3 | Yes _____ | No <u>X</u> _____ |

3. Has Acushnet proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,506,130 (the '130 patent) is invalid due to obviousness?

"Yes" is a finding for Acushnet. "No" is a finding for Callaway.

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|-----------|-------------------|
| (A) | Claim 5 | Yes _____ | No <u>X</u> _____ |
|-----|---------|-----------|-------------------|

4. Has Acushnet proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,595,873 (the '873 patent) is invalid due to obviousness?

"Yes" is a finding for Acushnet. "No" is a finding for Callaway.

(A) Claim 1 Yes _____ No

(B) Claim 3 Yes _____ No